

EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS OF HOUSEHOLDS' SAVING BEHAVIOR

Inauguraldissertation zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades eines
Doktors der Wirtschaftswissenschaften der Universität Mannheim

Michael Ziegelmeyer
vorgelegt im Frühjahressemester 2011

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

Abteilungssprecher: Professor Dr. Martin Peitz

Referent: Professor Axel Börsch-Supan, Ph.D.

Korreferent: Professor Michael Haliassos, Ph.D.

Tag der mündlichen Prüfung: 01.06.2011

Ziegelmeier, Michael:

Empirical Analysis of Housholds' Saving Behavior

ISBN 978-3-941274-84-6

All Rights Reserved

1. Edition 2011, Göttingen

© Optimus Verlag

URL: www.optimus-verlag.de

Printed in Germany

Paper is FSC certified (wood-free, chlorine free and acid-free,
and resistant to aging ANSI 3948 and ISO 9706)

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, scanning, or otherwise without the prior written permission of the Publisher. Request to the Publisher for permission should be addressed to info@optimus-verlag.de.

To my grandparents

Acknowledgements

"I grew up with an ambition and determination without which I would have been a good deal happier. I thought a lot and developed the faraway look of a dreamer, for it was always the distant heights that fascinated me and drew me to them in spirit. I was not sure what could be accomplished with tenacity and little else, but the target was set high and each rebuff only saw me more determined to see at least one major dream to its fulfillment."

EARL DENMAN

Alone to Everest

Earl Denman, who was a Canadian mountaineer, tried to climb Mount Everest in 1947. Compared to the well-equipped British expeditions in the 1920s, 1930s, and 1950s, his expedition had inadequate experience, money, equipment, and Sherpas. Without even reaching the North Col, the attempt to climb Mount Everest failed.

The support I received to climb my “*Everest*” in the course of the past years was tremendous. Similar to mountaineering you need the support of a team. Without the help and support of many others it would have been much harder (if not impossible) to complete this dissertation. Thus, let me take a few lines to thank all those who provided either the academic environment I was allowed to work in or the private environment I recovered from my work.

First, I would like to thank my supervisor, Axel Börsch-Supan, for his help and support. He convinced me to stay at MEA after I completed my diploma thesis. Thanks to him I look gratefully back at very fruitful years at MEA. Beyond our shared enthusiasm for the roof of the world, I learned very much from his comments and suggestions. I am very grateful to Michael Haliassos who accepted to be my second examiner. He has always been very supportive with his ideas and comments at the conferences we met.

Michela Coppola enriched all my projects with their valuable advice and corrections. Her inputs strongly improved the outcomes of my chapters 2 and 6. I always enjoyed working with her. The first one who fostered my academic interest was Daniel Schunk. I joined his team as a student research assistant and got in touch with my first MEA-project, the “*Multiple imputation of the German household survey SAVE*.” I got more and more involved in SAVE and the assessment of German households’ saving behavior. As can be read later on, SAVE is even at the core of my dissertation. I learned much from Daniel Schunk and I am grateful for his continuous support. The encouraging words and help of Martin Gasche are mainly responsible that I got my first paper published (chapter 3). Due to the work with him on chapter 4 I learned much about how to write a paper. I worked together with Tabea Bucher-Koenen on one of my most difficult projects (chapter 5). I greatly benefitted from discussions with Tabea and our productive teamwork. I also would like to thank all the remaining colleagues at MEA, especially Edgar Vogel, Anette Reil-Held, and Uli Schlieper.

Mike Hurd and Joachim Winter provided additional feedback. Alexander Aldinger, Benedikt Alt, Helen Grupp, Dörte Heger, Corinna Kartzke, Julius Nick, Michael Schardt, Stefanie Schulz, and Marius Wolter provided excellent research assistance. I am grateful to all the known and unknown seminar participants in Amsterdam, Berlin, Cleveland, Deidesheim, Frankfurt, Mannheim, and Paris for their comments.

I gratefully acknowledge financial support from the Mannheim Research Institute for the Economics of Aging (MEA) and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) for financing the SAVE survey. The views presented in this dissertation are those of the author. Any errors remaining are the responsibility of the author alone.

As Paul Watzlawik once said “*you cannot be careful enough choosing your parents*” (“*Man kann in der Wahl seiner Eltern nie vorsichtig genug sein*”). I am very glad to say that I have chosen very carefully. Without their love, belief, patience, hope, and support, I would not be there where I am today. Finally, I thank my wife Franziska. She provided the environment in which I could relax after a hard week’s work. Our discussions, her constant encouragement and belief in my work made so many things more enjoyable.

Let me finish with a word of William Shakespeare:

“I can no other answer make, but, thanks, and thanks.”

Mannheim, February 2011

Michael Ziegelmeier

Content

Acknowledgements	vii
List of figures	xiii
List of tables.....	xv
List of appendices.....	xviii
1 General introduction	1
1.1 Framework	1
1.2 Illuminate the unknown: Evaluation of imputation procedures based on the SAVE survey	4
1.3 Old-age provision savings behavior of self-employed	5
1.4 The effects of the financial crisis on private pension plans	6
1.5 Who lost the most? Financial literacy, cognitive abilities, and the financial crisis	6
1.6 Nursing home residents make a difference - The overestimation of saving rates at older ages	7
2 Illuminate the unknown: Evaluation of imputation procedures based on the SAVE survey	9
2.1 Introduction	9
2.2 General introduction to item-nonresponse and multiple imputation	10
2.2.1 Determinants and patterns of item-nonresponse	10
2.2.2 Why multiple imputation?	12
2.3 The SAVE dataset 2003-2008	14
2.3.1 Introduction	14
2.3.2 The imputation algorithm for SAVE.....	15
2.4 Logical imputation	17
2.4.1 Concept of the logical imputation	17
2.4.2 Principles of the logical imputation.....	21
2.4.3 Overview about implementation and results	22
2.5 Regression based stochastic imputation.....	23

2.5.1	Initial implementation of ownership and amount imputations.....	23
2.5.2	Bias of the initial imputation method.....	24
2.5.3	Log-level regression and the retransformation problem	26
2.5.4	Evaluation of imputation methods	30
2.5.5	Results of the evaluation of imputation methods.....	34
2.6	Conclusion	38
2.7	Appendix.....	40
3	Das Altersvorsorge-Verhalten von Selbständigen.....	49
3.1	Einführung	49
3.2	Theoretischer Hintergrund.....	51
3.3	Ausgewählte Literatur.....	53
3.4	Der SAVE Datensatz	54
3.5	Deskriptive Analysen.....	58
3.5.1	Vorsorgefähigkeit.....	58
3.5.2	Vorsorgebereitschaft.....	63
3.5.3	Vorsorgeniveau.....	69
3.6	Zusammenfassung und Schlussfolgerung.....	76
3.7	Anhang.....	79
4	The effects of the financial crisis on private pension plans	87
4.1	Introduction.....	87
4.2	On the vulnerability of private pensions to the financial crisis	89
4.2.1	Losses in primary investments	90
4.2.2	Losses in secondary investments.....	91
4.2.3	Smoothing over time	93
4.3	Portfolios held by German households before the financial crisis	93
4.3.1	Monetary assets	95
4.3.2	Retirement assets	97
4.4	Short-term effects of the financial crisis in 2008.....	98
4.4.1	Losses for the average household.....	99
4.4.2	Differentiation by age and income	100

4.4.3	Distribution of losses	102
4.5	Long-term impacts of the financial crisis on returns from private pensions	104
4.5.1	Assumptions and long-term scenarios	105
4.5.2	Findings	107
4.6	Conclusions	110
5	Who lost the most?	
	Financial literacy, cognitive abilities, and the financial crisis	113
5.1	Introduction	113
5.2	Related literature and hypotheses	114
5.2.1	Stock market participation	114
5.2.2	Under-diversification and other investment mistakes	116
5.2.3	Portfolio adjustments	117
5.3	Data	119
5.3.1	SAVE	119
5.3.2	Measuring financial losses	119
5.3.3	Measuring financial literacy	122
5.3.4	Measuring cognitive abilities	123
5.4	Empirical strategies and results	124
5.4.1	Who is affected by financial losses due to the crisis?	124
5.4.2	Who lost the most?	127
5.4.3	Who realizes the loss?	130
5.5	Conclusions	133
5.6	Appendix	136
6	Nursing home residents make a difference -	
	The overestimation of saving rates at older ages	143
6.1	Introduction	143
6.2	Empirical evidence on the saving rate of the elderly	146
6.3	Possible explanations for the puzzle of still positive saving rates at older ages	148
6.4	Long-term care in the USA:	
	population characteristics and institutional background	149
6.4.1	Resident characteristics	149

6.4.2 How is long-term care financed?.....	150
6.5 Data	152
6.5.1 Health and Retirement Study (HRS).....	152
6.5.2 Calculation of changes in wealth.....	154
6.6 Results	155
6.6.1 Methodology.....	155
6.6.2 Wealth decumulation of nursing home residents in the USA	156
6.6.3 The overestimation of saving rates at older ages in the USA	162
6.7 The German case.....	165
6.7.1 Long-term care in Germany: population characteristics and institutional background	165
6.7.2 The German Income and Expenditure Survey (EVS).....	168
6.7.3 Do nursing home residents matter? The calculation of corrected saving rates	169
6.7.4 Influence of an aging society on aggregate saving rates.....	175
6.8 Conclusion	178
6.9 Appendix.....	180
Bibliography	191

List of figures

Figure 2.1: Sample size of SAVE.....	15
Figure 2.2: Overview of the multiple imputation method for SAVE.....	16
Figure 2.3: Distribution of annual saving by each imputation step.....	25
Figure 3.1: Frage nach dem Berufsstand aus dem SAVE Fragebogen 2008	55
Figure 3.2: Vergleich des durchschnittlichen Monatsnettoeinkommens in 2006	60
Figure 3.3: Kumulative Häufigkeitsverteilung der jährlichen Ersparnis (2005-2007).....	64
Figure 3.4: Kumulative Häufigkeitsverteilung des Nettogesamtvermögens in 2006.....	69
Figure 3.5: Anteile der einzelnen Vermögensklassen am mittleren Nettogesamtvermögen	72
Figure 3.6: Nettogesamtvermögen über Altersklassen der befragten Person in 2007	74
Figure 4.1: Gross assets of German households by age group, end of 2007.....	94
Figure 4.2: Monetary assets of German households by age group, end of 2007	95
Figure 4.3: Structure of monetary assets by age group, end of 2007	96
Figure 4.4: Monetary assets of German households by income quintile, end of 2007.....	96
Figure 4.5: Retirement assets of German households by age group, end of 2007	97
Figure 4.6: Retirement assets of German households by income quintile, end of 2007.....	97
Figure 4.7: Declines in returns by age group in relation to the no-crisis reference scenario, 2008.....	101
Figure 4.8: Declines in returns by income quintile in relation to the no-crisis reference scenario, 2008.....	102
Figure 4.9: Proportion of households by age group with losses of at least 5%, 10%, and 25% in comparison to the no-crisis reference scenario, 2008.....	103
Figure 4.10: Proportion of households by income quintile with losses of at least 5%, 10% and 25% in comparison to the no-crisis reference scenario, 2008	103
Figure 4.11: Returns by investment class.....	106

Figure 4.12: Cohort-specific returns from private pension plans	
in the crisis scenario (scenario 2) and no-crisis reference scenario	108
Figure 4.13: Cohort-specific declines in returns from the financial crisis (scenario 2)	
in comparison to the no-crisis reference scenario	108
Figure 4.14: Cohort-specific declines in returns for total financial assets	
in comparison to the reference scenario	110
Figure 5.1: Density function financial losses	136
Figure 5.2: Financial literacy and stock market participation	
by deciles of financial wealth	137
Figure 6.1: Institutionalized population by age in the USA	150
Figure 6.2: Total net wealth of institutionalized households by wave	157
Figure 6.3: Simulated wealth paths based on fixed absolute nursing home expenses	158
Figure 6.4: Simulated wealth paths based on wealth	
minus net nursing home expenses	161
Figure 6.5: Number of observations and the fraction of institutionalized by age	163
Figure 6.6: Corrected and uncorrected mean and median saving rates by age - HRS	164
Figure 6.7: Difference between the corrected	
and uncorrected saving rates by age - HRS	164
Figure 6.8: Institutionalized population by age group in Germany	166
Figure 6.9: Smoothed saving rates over age	170
Figure 6.10: Combined mean income and saving	
for the constructed nursing home population	172
Figure 6.11: Corrected and uncorrected mean saving rate - EVS	174
Figure 6.12: Corrected and uncorrected mean saving rate	
without long-term care insurance - EVS	174
Figure 6.13: Estimated fraction of the oldest old on the total population over time	176
Figure 6.14: Fraction of the institutionalized population on the total population	176
Figure 6.15: Difference between the corrected and uncorrected	
aggregate saving rates	177
Figure 6.16: Two-year percent change in wealth of institutionalized individuals	183

List of tables

Table 2.1:	Item-nonresponse rates of selected variables	12
Table 2.2:	Once a regular smoker – examples for logical imputation	19
Table 2.3:	Once a regular smoker – results of logical imputation	19
Table 2.4:	Registered unemployment – examples for logical imputation.....	20
Table 2.5:	Registered unemployment – results of logical imputation.....	20
Table 2.6:	Mean and median annual saving by each imputation step	25
Table 2.7:	Simulation details	35
Table 2.8:	Predictive accuracy	36
Table 2.9:	Distributional accuracy	36
Table 2.10:	Estimation accuracy	37
Table 2.11:	Implementation.....	41
Table 2.12:	Results	43
Table 2.13:	NMAR – Simulation details.....	47
Table 2.14:	NMAR – Evaluation measures.....	48
Table 3.1:	Anzahl der Beobachtungen von Selbständigen und abhängig Beschäftigten	57
Table 3.2:	Vergleich des durchschnittlichen Monatsnettoeinkommens.....	60
Table 3.3:	Vorsorgefähigkeit (2005-2007) von Selbständigen auf Basis des monatlichen Nettoeinkommens	62
Table 3.4:	Haushaltssparquoten über Berufsgruppen (2005-2007)	65
Table 3.5:	Haushaltssparquoten im Panel (2005-2007)	66
Table 3.6:	Haushaltssparquoten über Einkommensklassen im Panel (2005-2007)	67
Table 3.7:	Vergleich verschiedener Charakteristika von abhängig Beschäftigten und Selbständigen in 2006	68
Table 3.8:	Aufteilung des Nettogesamtvermögens in einzelne Vermögensklassen in 2006	70
Table 3.9:	Erwartete Alterseinkommen nach Berufsgruppen auf Individualebene in 2006.....	73

Table 3.10: Datensatzübersicht	80
Table 3.11: Vergleich "Art der Beschäftigung" von SAVE, Mikrozensus, EVS auf Individualebene	81
Table 3.12: Haushaltsnettoeinkommen von Selbständigen in SAVE, Mikrozensus und EVS nach Berufsstand der befragten Person	82
Table 3.13: Soziodemographische Merkmale der Selbständigen in SAVE, Mikrozensus und EVS	83
Table 3.14: Haushaltssparquoten über Berufsgruppen (2005-2007)	86
Table 4.1: Returns in 2007 and 2008	90
Table 4.2: Average returns for 2007 and 2008, with and without the financial crisis	99
Table 4.3: Absolute and relative asset losses caused by the financial crisis	99
Table 5.1: Households' reaction to financial losses	121
Table 5.2: Financial literacy 2007	123
Table 5.3: Cognitive reflection test	124
Table 5.4: Probit "financial loss"	126
Table 5.5: Heckman two-step "loss/wealth 2007"	129
Table 5.6: Probit "realized loss"	132
Table 5.7: Summary statistics	138
Table 5.8: Relative difference in assets between stock-holders and non-stockholders when entering retirement at age 67	141
Table 6.1: Literature overview	146
Table 6.2: Sample size – number of respondents by age group	153
Table 6.3: Nursing home residence by gender	154
Table 6.4: Nursing home residence by household composition	154
Table 6.5: Nursing home residence by race	154
Table 6.6: Number of nursing home residents by singles and couples	157
Table 6.7: Nursing home costs and total household income	159
Table 6.8: Total household income as a fraction of nursing home costs	160
Table 6.9: Distribution of total net wealth (excluding secondary residence)	160
Table 6.10: Gender and marital status of nursing home residents in 1999 and 2003	167
Table 6.11: Average gross and net nursing home expenses per resident and month by federal state	168

Table 6.12: Sample restrictions181

Table 6.13: Item-nonresponse by wealth category.....182

Table 6.14: Household composition of the institutionalized population by age class183

Table 6.15: Calculation of net nursing home costs in Germany186

List of appendices

Appendix 2-A: Rubin's Rules for inference based on repeated imputations.....	40
Appendix 2-B: Implementation and results of the logical imputation.....	41
Appendix 2-C: Effect of the initial imputation procedure based on an in-sample prediction.....	45
Appendix 2-D: Not Missing At Random (NMAR).....	46
Appendix 3-A: Datensätze	79
Appendix 3-B: Vergleich SAVE mit Mikrozensus und EVS	81
Appendix 3-C: Weitere Anmerkungen zur Stichprobe.....	84
Appendix 3-D: Berechnung des Sparbetrages, der die Grundsicherung im Alter deckt	85
Appendix 3-E: Weitere Tabellen.....	86
Appendix 5-A: Financial losses	136
Appendix 5-B: Financial literacy and stock market participation by deciles of financial wealth.....	137
Appendix 5-C: Summary statistics.....	138
Appendix 5-D: Measures of financial literacy and cognitive abilities.....	139
Appendix 5-E: Long-run losses of non-participation.....	140
Appendix 6-A: Concepts to measure saving.....	180
Appendix 6-B: Sample restrictions	181
Appendix 6-C: Weighting	181
Appendix 6-D: Item-nonresponse	182
Appendix 6-E: Wealth changes of nursing home residents by wave and age class.....	182
Appendix 6-F: Saving rates for each age and smoothing plots - HRS	184
Appendix 6-G: Calculation of nursing home expenses in Germany	185
Appendix 6-H: Suitability of other German datasets	187
Appendix 6-I: Saving rates for each age and smoothing plots - EVS.....	188
Appendix 6-J: Net wealth over age - EVS	189

1 General introduction

1.1 Framework

“Spare in der Zeit, dann hast du in der Not.” German saying

From a macro perspective, savings or capital accumulation is a key determinant of the production function. A too low capital stock lowers wealth and prosperity of nations. On a micro perspective, low savings can have substantial effects on personal wellbeing and can lead to poverty in old-age. Saving, which can be defined as difference between income and current consumption in a simplified way, is much more than a residual outcome. The process of saving is shaped by personal experiences, by preferences and expectations, as well as available resources. My grandfather, Paul Zieglmeyer, born in 1923 and living in a nursing home today, used the above saying to express his attitude towards saving, which could be translated as *“Save in time [during good times], then you have in the need”*. But this saying is not just the expression of my grandfather’s attitude; it could generally be seen as reflecting the attitude of the war generation towards saving in a simplified way. For each individual as well as for policymakers it should be a necessity to understand how saving decisions are made and how these decisions effects future consumption. The wide range of saving motives, their interactions with an extensive set of personal characteristics as well as the institutional background make saving decisions especially due to their inter-temporal setting to a complex and difficult task.

In 1972, the German pension system was made one of the most generous¹ pension systems in the world. However, the high pension levels² as well as the tolerant retirement rules and early retirement options³ were not financially sustainable (Wilke, 2009). The (projected) large increases in spending on pensions due to longer lives, lower birth rates, and the expected entrance of the baby boom generation into retirement, made pension reforms necessary. Policymakers have responded in various ways to bring back the pension system on a sustainable track (see Barr and Diamond (2008) for a detailed evaluation of recent pension reforms). These pension reforms will lead amongst others to gradual lower public pension incomes with increasing birth year. To maintain the standard of living experienced during once working life, individuals are

¹ See Hauser (1998) and OECD (2009) for a comparison of retirement incomes on a household level for different countries, including Germany.

² For workers with a 45 year earnings history and average lifetime earnings, net pension benefits accounted for around 70% of average earnings.

³ Those consist of a low statutory retirement age for woman and unemployed, easy access to disability benefits, and a retirement window between the age of 63 and 65 without any actuarial adjustment.

forced to build up savings to close the increasing gap between working life income and public pension income. This development requests an increased responsibility of each individual for its old-age income. Poverty in old-age might become a more serious concern than it is today.⁴ This thesis investigates several aspects of households' saving behavior and the life-cycle model sets the broad frame within the various chapters can be fit in.

High quality data are necessary to empirically investigate households' saving behavior. This thesis uses the German SAVE dataset, a representative panel of German households, as data fundament for the analyses carried out in chapters 3-5. SAVE, which was a response to the lack of high quality data on the saving behavior of German households, was introduced in 2001 and has been conducted on a yearly basis from 2005 on. As observed in other datasets, which cover such critical topics as income and wealth holdings, item-nonresponse is a major concern. Chapter 2 introduces the German SAVE study and presents the implemented improvements within the imputation process to obtain unbiased and efficient estimates.

Modigliani and Brumberg (1954) and Friedman (1957) were the first to formulate a model of saving over age or better the life-cycle in a formal way. The result of the life cycle-permanent income model (LCH-PIH model)⁵ derives from an intertemporal utility maximization problem of a rational, forward looking agent who is maximizing his life-time utility by choosing the optimal amount of consumption (and by definition also the amount of saving) in each period. The basic model assumes that the life-cycle utility is the sum of discounted values of future utility, where the utility function is not only additively separable over time but also the same over all periods. Since marginal utility of each period is a positive and decreasing function, the agent tries to smooth consumption over his life-cycle.⁶ The instruments for consumption smoothing given a certain income are borrowing and saving. The agent will borrow if his current income is below his permanent income, and he will save if his current income is above his permanent income. Moving life-cycle resources from one period to another is carried out until the marginal utility of consumption is constant over time. Following this idea, young households should borrow money to finance their consumption level, whereas households should pay off their accumulated debts and accumulate wealth in the middle and end of their working life, from which they can consume in old-age. Thus, the

⁴ According to the German government, 2.3% of all individuals aged 65 and older receive basic financial security in old-age (Bundesregierung, 2008, 3. Armuts- und Reichtumsbericht).

⁵ The terminology used in this context is not always well-defined. See Browning and Lusardi (1996, p. 1798) for a short discussion.

⁶ Smoothing does not necessarily mean that consumption is kept constant over the life-cycle. Smoothing means that individuals try to keep the marginal utility of money constant over the life-cycle, which might imply consumption changes over time (Browning and Crossley, 2001, p. 4).

LCH-PIH model induces an old-age provision motive.⁷ In its most general formulation, Browning and Crossley (2001, p. 3) defined the life-cycle framework in a way *“that agents make sequential decisions to achieve a coherent (and “stable”) goal using currently available information as best they can.”* The LCH-PIH model became the working horse for most economic researchers to analyze saving behavior and will also guide the structure of this thesis.

Chapter 3 focuses on the capital accumulation phase of the life-cycle model during an individual's working life. The self-employed are of special interest in the ongoing debate about poverty in old-age, especially in the German case, since about $\frac{3}{4}$ of all self-employed are not secured by a mandatory pension plan. The chapter investigates the capability, willingness, as well as the level of old-age provision of the German self-employed in detail.⁸

Not only how much is saved is of importance, but also the question of how savings are invested is of crucial relevance. In particular, after the recent economic and financial crisis, connected with substantial downturns of different asset categories, the adequacy of the private old-age provision was questioned in Germany. Henceforth, chapter 4 examines the losses in wealth of German households due to the recent financial and economic crisis in the short- and the long-run. Chapter 5 links these losses to two key determinants of saving behavior, financial literacy and cognitive abilities. Since it is well known that households with lower financial literacy make more severe investment mistakes, which results in higher fees or lower returns, it is crucial to understand the effect of the crisis and the generated behavior on households' portfolios. Whereas chapter 3 focuses on the relationship of the insurance system on private savings in old-age, chapters 4 and 5 investigate the short- and long-run consequences of the recent financial crisis on wealth holdings.

Chapter 6 investigates the saving behavior in the final phase of the LCH-PIH model since it concentrates on individuals from age 65 on, who are mainly retired individuals. Many micro empirical studies over different countries document that the elderly do not dissave as much as predicted by common LCH-PIH models. To explain this puzzle, this chapter uses data from Germany and the USA to provide an additional explanation for the non negative saving rates in old-age. We argue that the neglect of the

⁷ For additional information see Browning and Lusardi (1996) and Rodepeter (1999), which give a detailed overview of the theories and the assumptions of the LCH-PIH model and its extensions.

⁸ Due to the hotly debated topic of the inclusion of all self-employed into the obligatory pension insurance, the article on which this chapter is based on was published in German to reach a wider audience (see e.g. the media responses in the FAZ (8.9.2009) „Einem Zehntel der Selbstständigen droht Altersarmut“ or in the weekly newspaper DIE ZEIT (21.10.2010) „Fürsorge statt Vorsorge; Immer mehr Selbstständigen droht Altersarmut“). Since a translation could only be a slightly changed copy of the original, the original German text is printed in this thesis.

strong dissaving of the nursing home population as well as the increasing fraction of institutionalized individuals with age are responsible for a sizeable overestimation of saving rates at older ages. If wealth holdings are exhausted due to a nursing home stay, individuals have to rely on Medicaid in the USA. In Germany, they have to rely either on social assistance or their relatives or even both. In such cases, there is only a very limited financial scope to address individuals' needs not covered by the nursing home or the public insurance system.

The remaining introduction briefly outlines the content of the five respective chapters which compose the remainder of this dissertation. Each outline contains a summary of the objective, which includes a few words about the applied methodology, and the main findings. At the end of each chapter appendices include additional materials referred to in the text. Finally, references are provided in the bibliography at the end of the thesis due to the fact that a part of the literature is common to all chapters. Since chapter 2 introduces the databasis of chapters 3 to 5, it is recommended to read chapter 2 before chapters 3 to 5. Despite this recommendation, each chapter can be read independently.

1.2 Illuminate the unknown: Evaluation of imputation procedures based on the SAVE survey

Objective: The second chapter introduces the German SAVE dataset, which is the dataset the empirical analyses throughout chapters 3 to 5 are based on. Questions about monetary variables are key components of questionnaires about household finances such as the German SAVE survey. However, missing information is a well-known phenomenon in questionnaires about such critical topics as income, wealth, and saving. This chapter evaluates different imputation techniques to impute monetary variables by implementing a simulation study, where a random pattern of missingness is imposed on the observed values of the variables of interest. New estimation techniques are necessary to overcome the upward bias of monetary variables caused by the initially implemented imputation procedure.

Additionally, this chapter documents the logical imputation, which is based on the panel structure from 2003 to 2008. The concept and the principles of the logical panel imputation are described. Furthermore, the method applied to logically impute each variable is briefly commented.

Main findings: The logical panel imputation of the SAVE dataset reduces decisively the number of missing values for some variables. For remarkably many cases more than 50% of all missing values can be replaced by appropriate values. With regard to the stochastic imputation, the Monte-Carlo simulation based on the observed SAVE

data shows the superiority of the newly implemented smearing estimate to construct the missing data structure.

All waves are consistently imputed using the new methods. All analyses in chapters 3 to 5 are based on these newly imputed waves.

1.3 Old-age provision savings behavior of self-employed

Objective: Since about $\frac{3}{4}$ of all self-employed are not secured by a mandatory pension plan, the question arises to what extent old-age poverty could become a problem for them. The SAVE panel of 2005-2008 offers a new and so far unexploited data basis to assess the capability, willingness, as well as the level of old-age provision of this group of people.

Main findings: The majority of self-employed has the necessary funds for adequate old-age provision. But at least 11% of the households with a self-employed main earner are not able to save an amount large enough to ensure an old-age income at the level of the basic financial security in old-age. Households with a self-employed main earner save more on average than those with an employed main earner. However, they still save less on average in lower income classes than employed main earners, despite the fact that the employees already have their social security contributions deducted. The net wealth of households with self-employed main earners (without considering social security wealth) is on average three times the size of the net wealth of employees. Yet, private old-age provision products contribute only slightly more than 4% to net wealth. In the age class over 55, 26% of self-employed households are not able to raise the funds that guarantee an old-age income above the poverty level.

In the current discussion concerning the prevention or at least weakening of old-age poverty of the self-employed, mainly two proposals are discussed: the first one is to extend the coverage in the public pension insurance, and the second one is the implementation of a private obligatory insurance for self-employed individuals. The use of the SAVE data allows drawing a more complete picture of the actual level of old-age provision of the self-employed and the resulting vulnerability of self-employed to possible old-age poverty. Thus, it helps to bring a more objective level to a hotly debated issue. Since social security wealth as well as behavioral changes before retirement could not be considered in the analyses, these results should neither lead to hastily conclude the need of protection of self-employed, nor should it be used to justify an obligatory provision or insurance. This requires a much more differentiated socio-political consideration of the advantages and disadvantages related to such a solution.

This chapter has been published as „*Das Altersvorsorge-Verhalten von Selbständigen - eine Analyse auf Basis der SAVE-Daten*,“ Schmollers Jahrbuch, 130(2), 195-239, 2010.

1.4 The effects of the financial crisis on private pension plans

Joint work with Axel Börsch-Supan and Martin Gasche

Objective: The financial crisis has reawakened questions concerning how risky funded private pensions might be. In Germany, the debate has focused in particular on whether the losses induced by the crisis cast doubt on the suitability of a mixed system of funded and unfunded pension plans to meet the challenges posed by demographic change. Using SAVE data from 2008, this study quantifies the level of asset losses and losses in returns on private pension plans resulting from the financial and economic crisis based on a simulation study.

Main findings: The average loss in retirement assets is about 2% in 2008. This is significantly lower than the loss in financial assets (about 4.3%). If one carries forward these asset losses for birth cohorts from 1940 through 1990 all the way up to retirement age and assumes no structural changes caused by the financial crisis, we find average reductions in returns for persons in these cohorts of up to 0.1 percentage points for retirement assets and up to 0.2 percentage points for financial assets. If one assumes structural changes caused by the financial crisis, the reductions in returns are larger depending on certain households' characteristics (see chapter 5). These figures thus provide no grounds to justify a fundamental reorganization of the multi-pillar model of German retirement savings.

This chapter has been published as „*Auswirkungen der Finanzkrise auf die private Altersvorsorge*“ (with A. Börsch-Supan, M. Gasche), Perspektiven der Wirtschaftspolitik, 11(4), 383-406, 2010.

1.5 Who lost the most?

Financial literacy, cognitive abilities, and the financial crisis

Joint work with Tabea Bucher-Koenen

Objective: The aim of the fifth chapter is to study to what extent are which private households affected by the recent financial crisis and how their financial decisions are influenced by this shock. We aim at answering the following questions: Are individuals with lower financial literacy and lower cognitive abilities more frequently affected

by financial losses due to the crisis? Are individuals with lower financial literacy and cognitive abilities affected more severely if loss is measured as a percentage of wealth? And are individuals with lower financial literacy and cognitive abilities more likely to realize their losses?

Main findings: Using self-reports, little more than 20% of households in Germany were affected by financial losses due to the financial crisis. On average households lost about € 2,561 or 3.6% of their financial assets. Simulated losses based on households' portfolio composition at the end of 2007 and average returns of these assets during 2008 (see chapter 4) are relatively close to the self-reported measure.

Ex ante, the relation between financial literacy and losses is not clear. On the one hand individuals with lower literacy and cognitive ability are more prone to make mistakes; on the other hand they are more likely to stay out of risky assets. Our analysis based on SAVE reveals that individuals with low levels of financial knowledge are less likely to have invested in the stock market and are therefore in general less likely to report losses in wealth due to the financial crisis. In contrast to our expectations we find that conditional on stock market participation individuals with low financial literacy did not suffer larger losses measured as a fraction of their wealth. However, individuals with lower levels of financial literacy sold their assets which lost in value with a higher likelihood.

This reaction of individuals with low financial literacy to short-term losses and the lower probability of low financial literate households to enter the stock market might have long-term consequences, especially in the light of increasing individual responsibility for old-age provision. The reduction in returns for those households can be substantially larger compared to the average losses calculated in chapter 4.

1.6 Nursing home residents make a difference - The overestimation of saving rates at older ages

Objective: While life-cycle theory makes the clear prediction that people dissave at old-age, this prediction is not at all borne out by the data from many countries. Various suggestions have been made to explain this discrepancy. This chapter sheds more light on the effect of the exclusion of institutionalized individuals in estimating saving rates over old-age, a conceptual aspect often mentioned but never investigated. Particularly this group is expected to decumulate wealth since nursing home expenses net of private (and public) insurance exceed disposable income on average.

This chapter uses the Health and Retirement Study (HRS) for the USA and the Income and Expenditure Survey (EVS) for Germany to show that there is an increasing

overestimation of saving rates from age 75 on if institutionalized households are not included.

Main findings: In the USA, the overestimation of the mean (median) saving rates is 3.3 percentage points (4.3pp) at age 80, 5.4pp (9.4pp) at age 90 and even more for age 90+. The overestimation of the German mean saving rate increases to almost 6pp at age 90. This strong overestimation is based on the fact that nursing home residents strongly reduce their wealth holdings. Referring to the USA, the representative median single nursing home resident reduces wealth holdings by 90% over a two-year period; the representative mean single nursing home resident diminishes total net wealth by 19%. The dissaving is less strong for couples.

The ongoing aging of industrialized populations and the connected increase in the fraction of the nursing home population will strengthen the importance of including the nursing home population to estimate aggregate saving rates in micro empirical studies. Based on calculations for Germany, not including the institutionalized population results in an overestimation of the aggregate saving rate over all ages of 0.2pp in 1999 and will increase to around 0.7-0.8pp in the year 2050. To sum up, more effort should be put in the collection of data including nursing home residents over different countries as done in the HRS. Leaving them out could lead to serious biases as pointed out in this chapter based on the saving rate.