

**Agronomic characteristics and nutritional quality of carrot  
(*Daucus carota* L.) cultivars from Myanmar and Germany as  
affected by mineral and organic fertilizers**

Dissertation  
to obtain the Ph. D. degree  
in the International Ph. D. Program for Agricultural Sciences in Goettingen (IPAG)  
at the Faculty of Agricultural Sciences,  
Georg-August-University Göttingen, Germany

Presented by

Le Le Win

Born in Sagaing, Myanmar

Göttingen, July 2010

**Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek**

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

**D7**

Referee : Prof. Dr. Elke Pawelzik  
Co-referee : Prof. Dr. Norbert Claassen

Date of dissertation : 15 July 2010

**Win, Le Le:**

Agronomic characteristics and nutritional quality of carrot (*Daucus carota* L.) cultivars from Myanmar and Germany as affected by mineral and organic fertilizers

ISBN 978-3-941274-49-5

**All Rights Reserved**

1. Edition 2010

© Optimus Verlag, Göttingen

URL: [www.optimus-verlag.de](http://www.optimus-verlag.de)

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, scanning, or otherwise without the prior written permission of the Publisher. Request to the Publisher for permission should be addressed to [service@optimus-verlag.de](mailto:service@optimus-verlag.de).

## Acknowledgements

First and foremost, I wish to express my heartfelt thanks and appreciation to my supervisor Prof. Dr. Elke Pawelzik. As a matter of fact, words alone are not enough for her mother like concern, understanding and patience since before my coming to Germany to the end of my study period. Her guidance, encouragement and supports will be of unforgettable memories in my life.

I am very much pleased to acknowledge PD Dr. Anna Keutgen for her close supervision, especially the guidelines on my research framework and the analytical works in the laboratory. Whenever I faced problems in my work, her encouragements made me stand still and less stressed. Heartfelt gratitude is due to Dr. Silke Stracke for all her effort through readings the scripts and valuable comments which improved my knowledge on scientific work.

My special thanks also go to Prof. Dr. Norbert Claassen for his invaluable advices, suggestions and criticisms on my research work and manuscripts. For his kind acceptance and willingness to be my second examiner has been regarded as a valuable gift for me.

Furthermore, I sincerely appreciate Prof. Dr. Heiko Becker for his kindness to be my third examiner, whose lectures on scientific writing motivated me to get in touch with him all the more.

I also wish to express my appreciation to the Director General and Directors from my department for their permission of my study abroad especially to Saya U Hla Tin for his efforts and advices.

I am deeply indebted to Gottlieb Daimler and Karl Benz Foundation as well as to Katholischer Akademischer Ausländer-Dienst (KAAD) for their financial support. My coming to Germany and completion of my study is impossible without their kind and considerate help. Moreover, the annual meetings, seminars and social events held by those organizations let me gain invaluable experiences. Through such events, I could extend my horizon of knowledge not only on my study, but on the culture and life styles of different people from different origins. Great fun and excitements were due to participation in all social activities of Katholische Hochschulgemeinde Goettingen (KHG) activities. In fact, every visit to KHG provided me home away from home experience.

## Acknowledgement

---

My sincere and great thanks are extended to my working group, particularly to Mrs. Jansen, Mrs. Egger, Mr. Hilmer and Mr. Kobbe who rendered tremendous amounts of assistance. I am also grateful to Mrs. Smit, Mrs. Koch, Mrs. Hill, Mrs. Conradt, Mrs. Krüger, Mr. Hanke, all other technicians besides our institute, Juliana Satie Hara from Brazil, Dyna Theng from Cambodia and Alicia Cortinas from Spain not only for their assistance, but also for their readiness to help me.

Mental and spiritual supports are most valuable things for me, and here my former Prof. Sayagyi U Hla Aung, Rector Dr. Myint Thaung, all my teachers, friends and colleagues near and far are to be thankful. The list will be endless but just let your names be kept in my heart to all my dears who are not included here. All of your patience, kindness, understandings and tireless effort during my stressful study period were kept in mind and I am indebted. I am always proud and secured for having many many good friends in my life and you all are devoted ever.

Finally, my deepest and greatest dedication is to my beloved parents U Myo Myint and Daw Kyi Mya, all my brothers and their spouses, nieces and nephews, my uncle U Phoe Ni, my late aunt Ayee and all my relatives for their unconditional love, infinite patience, deep encouragement and every sort of support along my lifetime.

# Table of content

<b>Table of content</b>	<b>III</b>
<b>List of tables</b>	<b>VI</b>
<b>List of figures</b>	<b>VIII</b>
<b>List of acronyms and abbreviations</b>	<b>X</b>
<b>1. General introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1. Background .....	1
1.2. Literature review .....	2
1.2.1. Carrot origin and characteristics .....	2
1.2.2. Carrot quality .....	3
1.2.3. Efficacy of fertilizers on carrot productivity and quality .....	5
1.2.4. Antioxidant compounds and antioxidant capacity in different carrot cultivars .....	6
1.3. References .....	9
<b>2. Effect of mineral and organic fertilizers on agronomic characteristics and nitrate content of carrot cultivars</b>	<b>15</b>
2.1. Abstract .....	15
2.2. Introduction .....	15
2.3. Materials and Methods .....	17
2.3.1. Seed collection .....	17
2.3.2. Germination test .....	17
2.3.3. Collection and preparation of soil, farmyard manure and compost manure .....	17
2.3.4. Cultivation .....	19
2.3.5. Harvest and sample preparation .....	19
2.3.6. Determination of nitrate content .....	20

## Table of content

---

2.3.7. Statistical Analysis.....	20
2.4. Results.....	21
2.5. Discussion.....	31
2.5.1. Effect of fertilizer types and levels on agronomic characters and nitrate content.....	31
2.5.2. Effect of cvs. on agronomic characters and nitrate content.....	33
2.6. Conclusion .....	34
2.7. References.....	36
<b>3. Antioxidant properties of carrot cultivars as affected by mineral     and organic fertilizers</b>	<b>41</b>
3.1. Abstract.....	41
3.2. Introduction.....	41
3.3. Materials and Methods.....	43
3.3.1. Determination of ascorbic acid .....	43
3.3.2. Determination of total carotenoids .....	43
3.3.3. Determination of total phenolics.....	44
3.3.4. Determination of antioxidant capacity .....	44
3.3.5. Statistical Analysis.....	45
3.4. Results.....	45
3.5. Discussion.....	51
3.5.1. Effect of fertilizer types and levels on the antioxidant properties .....	51
3.5.2. Effect of cultivar on the antioxidant properties.....	52
3.6. Conclusion .....	54
3.7. References.....	56
<b>4. Effect of mineral and organic fertilizers on the mineral nutrients     content of carrot cultivars</b>	<b>61</b>
4.1. Abstract.....	61
4.2. Introduction.....	61
4.3. Materials and methods .....	63
4.3.1. Mineral nutrients determination.....	63

---

4.3.2. Statistical Analysis.....	63
4.4. Results.....	64
4.5. Discussion.....	75
4.5.1. Influence of fertilizer types and levels on mineral nutrient concentrations.	75
4.5.2. Effect of cvs. on mineral nutrient concentrations.....	77
4.6. Conclusion.....	79
4.7. References.....	81
<b>5. Organic compounds of carrot cultivars as affected by mineral and organic fertilizers</b>	<b>85</b>
5.1. Abstract.....	85
5.2. Introduction.....	85
5.3. Material and methods.....	87
5.3.1. Dry matter (DM) determination.....	87
5.3.2. Total soluble solids (TSS).....	87
5.3.3. Determination of sugars and organic acids.....	87
5.3.4. Statistical Analysis.....	88
5.4. Results.....	88
5.5. Discussion.....	97
5.5.1. Effect of fertilizer types and levels on organic compounds.....	97
5.5.2. Effect of cultivar on organic compounds.....	99
5.6. Conclusion.....	100
5.7. References.....	101
<b>6. Conclusion and further study</b>	<b>105</b>
<b>7. Summary</b>	<b>107</b>
<b>8. Appendixes</b>	<b>109</b>
<b>Curriculum vitae</b>	<b>122</b>

## List of tables

Table 1-1	Origins of the cultivated carrots	2
Table 1-2	Nutritional composition of carrot: extract of data from USDA National Nutrient Database	4
Table 2-1	Physical and chemical properties of the soil	18
Table 2-2	Macro nutrient concentration of organic fertilizers	18
Table 2-3	Application of mineral and organic fertilizers ( $\text{g pot}^{-1}$ ) to obtain different nutrient levels	18
Table 2-4	Root length (cm) of carrot cvs. affected by fertilizer types and levels <sup>1</sup>	24
Table 2-5	Shoot:root ratio of carrot cvs. affected by fertilizer types and levels	28
Table 3-1	Analysis of variance for the total carotenoids content of carrot cultivars as affected by different fertilizer types and levels	47
Table 3-2	Analysis of variance for the total phenolics content of carrot cultivars as affected by different fertilizer types and levels	48
Table 3-3	Analysis of variance for antioxidant capacity of carrot cultivars as affected by different fertilizer types and levels	49
Table 3-4	Pearson correlation coefficients between antioxidant properties of carrot cvs. in year 2007 and 2008 <sup>1</sup>	50
Table 4-1	Calcium concentrations of carrot cvs. ( $\text{mg } 100 \text{ g}^{-1} \text{ FW}$ ) affected by fertilizer types and levels <sup>1</sup>	69
Table 4-2	Magnesium concentrations of carrot cvs. ( $\text{mg } 100 \text{ g}^{-1} \text{ FW}$ ) affected by fertilizer types and levels	71
Table 4-3	Iron concentrations of carrot cv. ( $\text{mg } 100 \text{ g}^{-1} \text{ FW}$ ) affected by fertilizer types and levels	72
Table 4-4	Manganese concentrations of carrot cvs. ( $\text{mg } 100 \text{ g}^{-1} \text{ FW}$ ) affected by fertilizer types and levels <sup>1</sup>	73
Table 4-5	Pearson correlation coefficients between mineral nutrients ( $\text{mg } 100 \text{ g}^{-1} \text{ FW}$ ) of carrot cvs. <sup>1</sup>	74
Table 4-6	Mineral concentration of carrot cultivars ( $\text{mg } 100 \text{ g}^{-1} \text{ FW}$ )	74



---

Table 4-7	Comparison of mineral nutrient content of carrot leaves in dry matter	75
Table 4-8	Comparison of mineral nutrient concentrations of carrot roots in dry matter	75
Table 5-1	Root DM content (%) of carrot cvs. affected by fertilizer types and levels <sup>1</sup>	89
Table 5-2	Total soluble solids (° Brix) of carrot cvs. affected by fertilizer types and levels <sup>1</sup>	90
Table 5-3	Fructose, glucose and sucrose (g 100 g <sup>-1</sup> FW) concentrations of carrot cvs. affected by fertilizer types and levels <sup>1</sup>	91
Table 5-4	Total sugars (g 100 g <sup>-1</sup> FW) concentrations of carrot cvs. affected by fertilizer types and levels <sup>1</sup>	92
Table 5-5	Relative sweetness of carrot cvs. affected by fertilizer types and levels <sup>1</sup>	93
Table 5-6	Sucrose to hexose ratio of carrot cvs. affected by fertililzer types and levels <sup>1</sup>	94
Table 5-7	Organic acids (g 100 g <sup>-1</sup> FW) content of carrot cvs. affected by fertilizer types and levels <sup>1</sup>	95
Table 5-8	Fumaric acid and total organic acids content (g 100g <sup>-1</sup> FW) of carrot cvs. affected by fertilizer types and levels <sup>1</sup>	96

## List of figures

Figure 1-1	Relationship between available N in the soil, ascorbic acid and $\beta$ -carotene contents in carrots at harvest.	6
Figure 2-1	Bolting of carrots	19
Figure 2-2	Five carrot cultivars after harvesting: (A) Cv. Mogyo, (B) Cv. Pwedaung, (C) Cv. Srup, (D) Cv. Flyaway and (E) Cv. Purple Haze	20
Figure 2-3	Root yield of carrot cultivars as affected by different levels of mineral fertilizer (A), farmyard manure (B) and compost manure (C).	22
Figure 2-4	Root yield of carrot cultivars in 2007 and 2008	23
Figure 2-5	Single root weight of carrot cultivars in 2007 and 2008.	23
Figure 2-6	Single root weight of carrot cultivars affected by different levels of mineral fertilizers (A), farmyard manures (B) and compost manure (C) in 2007	26
Figure 2-7	Bolting of carrots as affected by fertilizer types (A) and response of different cultivars (B).	29
Figure 2-8	Nitrate concentration of carrot cultivars at different levels of mineral fertilizer (A), farmyard manure (B) and compost manure (C). Different letters indicate statistically significant differences at $P \leq 0.05$ .	30
Figure 3-1	Ascorbic acid content of carrot cultivars affected by fertilizer levels (A) and different cultivars (B) in 2007 and 2008.	46
Figure 3-2	Total carotenoids content of carrot cultivars in 2007 and 2008.	47
Figure 3-3	Total phenolics content of carrot cultivars in 2007 and 2008.	48
Figure 3-4	Antioxidant capacity of carrot cultivars over two years	50
Figure 4-1	Nitrogen concentrations of carrot roots as affected by fertilizer types and levels in two Myanmar cultivars: cv. Pwedaung (A) and cv. Srup (B) in 2008	65
Figure 4-2	Nitrogen concentrations of carrot roots as affected by fertilizer types and levels in two German hybrids: cv. Flyaway (A) and cv. Purple Haze (B)	66

- Figure 4-3 Phosphorous concentration of carrot roots as affected by fertilizer types (A) and cultivar difference (B). 67
- Figure 4-4 Potassium concentration of carrot cultivars as affected by different levels of mineral fertilizer (A), farmyard manure (B) and compost manure (C) over two years 68

## List of acronyms and abbreviations

μl	microliter
μm	micrometer
μM	micromole
μg	microgram
ANOVA	analysis of variance
AVRDC	Asian Vegetable Research and Development Centre
C:N	carbon nitrogen ratio
Ca	calcium
CAL	calcium-acetate-lactate extracting solution
CM	compost manure
CM-L1	Compost at N 60 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>
CM-L2	Compost at N 120 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>
CM-L3	Compost at N 180 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>
Cu	copper
cv	cultivar
cvs.	cultivars
DIP	2,6-di-chlorphenolindophenol
Fe	iron
FM	farmyard manure
FM-L1	FYM at N 60 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>
FM-L2	FYM at N 120 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>
FM-L3	FYM at N 180 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>
FRAP	Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power
FW	Fresh weight
g	gram
H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	hydrogen peroxide
ha	hectare
HNO <sub>3</sub>	nitric acid
HPLC	high performance liquid chromatography

---

IPGRI	International Plant Genetic Resources Institute
ISTA	International Seed Testing Association
K	potassium
KCl	potassium chloride
kg	kilogram
KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	potassium dihydrogen phosphate
MAS	Myanmar Agriculture Service
MF	mineral fertilizer
MF-L1	mineral fertilization with N 60 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>
MF-L2	mineral fertilization with N 120 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>
MF-L3	mineral fertilization with N 180 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>
Mg	magnesium
mg	milligram
ml	milliliter
mm	milimeter
mM	milimole
Mn	manganese
N	nitrogen
NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub>	ammonium nitrate
°C	degree Celsius
P	phosphorous
PCARRD	Philippine council for agriculture, forestry and natural resources research and development
RAE	retinol activity equivalents
RDA	recommended dietary allowance
rpm	revolutions per minute
SD	standard deviation
SE	standard error
t	tonne
TSS	total soluble solids
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture
Zn	zinc

# 1. General introduction

## 1.1. Background

Carrot is the second most important vegetable in Germany and the quantity sold is about 547073 t year<sup>-1</sup> (FAO 2008) with an annual consumption of seven kg per person (Habegger and Graßmann 2007). World total production is about 27.39 million t in the year 2008. The average yield in Germany is 53.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and in the world it is 22.41 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (FAO 2008).

In Myanmar, carrot can be grown in upland areas like Shan State and Pyin Oo Lwin throughout the year and in lowlands it can be grown only in the winter season. The average yield of carrot in Myanmar is 5 to 12 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, quite low as compared to world average (MAS 2007). There are three major categories of constraints that hamper the vegetable production in tropical developing countries: environmental, technical and socioeconomic restrictions. Depending on the nature of the crop and circumstances, the solutions to overcome these constraints will be different (AVRDC 1997).

Generally, in Myanmar, as a part of the traditional cropping systems in highlands, farmers usually keep carrot seeds from their own crops for the next planting year after year. The advantage of using local cultivars is that they are well adapted to adverse environmental conditions and relatively resistant to pests and pathogens. Moreover, carrots are grown using organic manure which is cheap and readily available within the vicinity of the farms. As a consequence, crop yield is low, and hence, growers turn to use improved hybrid cultivars which can ensure higher yield and quality. Hybrids are basically of improved plant morphology, e.g. better root system or leaf canopy, which stress efficient uptake of mineral nutrients (Fageria *et al.* 2008; Mengel 1983).

Usually farmers use fertilizers in order to get high yield. Therefore it is necessary to apply the fertilizer in adequate amounts which might also be able to adjust balance between nutrients. For example, use of N fertilizers might have beneficial effect on carrot yield, however, if applied in abundant amount, higher nitrate might accumulate in carrot (Cserni *et al.* 1989). Leaching and runoff could also have adverse effects on the environment, or nutrient imbalance might lead to deficiency of other cations (Biegon 1995). Hybrid cultivars with high harvest index are sometimes better in nutrient use efficiency, i.e. higher yield per unit of nutrient uptake and they may perform better than traditional cultivars under stress conditions including low-input conditions (Vose 1990; Inthapanyaa *et al.* 2000). Therefore, information about yield

and quality of the local and hybrid carrot cultivars in response to the different fertilizer types would be a useful knowledge especially to the farmers in Myanmar.

## 1.2. Literature review

### 1.2.1. Carrot origin and characteristics

Carrot is the one of the major vegetable crops cultivated worldwide (Rubatzky *et al.* 1999). The domesticated types are divided into two groups: (1) the Eastern or Asian carrots (var. *atrorubens* Alef.), with mainly purple and yellow roots; and (2) the Western carrots [var. *sativus* (Hoffm.) Arcangeli] with mainly orange roots. Carrots were thought to be domesticated in Afghanistan as the primary centre of diversity and they were spread over Europe, Asia and the Mediterranean area, and the origin of western cultivated carrots were thought to be in the Asia Minor Centre, primarily Turkey (Simon 1996; Rubatzky *et al.* 1999). The origins of cultivated carrots are shown in Table 1-1.

**Table 1-1** Origins of the cultivated carrots

Time	Location	Colour
Pre-900s	Afghanistan and vicinity	
900s	Iran and northern Arabia	
1000s	Syria and North Africa	
1100s	Spain	
1200-1300	Italy and China	Purple and yellow
1300s	France, Germany and The Netherlands	
1400s	England	
1600s	Japan	
1600s	Northern Europe and North America	Orange and white
1700s	Japan	Orange
1721	Northern Europe	'Long Orange' and 'Horn' types described

Source: Rubatzky *et al.* (1999)

From the first cultivated purple or violet carrots, yellow and orange types were derived from this anthocyanin type by selection process (Banga 1984). Traditionally, purple carrots are still grown in some oriental countries such as Turkey, Afghanistan, Egypt, Pakistan, India and the Far East. Nowadays, purple and yellow - coloured cvs.

appeared in the European market and in fact they are bred from Asian lines (Simon 2000; Rubatzky *et al.* 1999).

The colour of carrot root is the result of various pigments that serve as intermediate products in the carotenoids pathway (Koch and Goldman 2005). Six carotenes have been reported in carrots, as  $\alpha$ -,  $\beta$ -,  $\gamma$ - and  $\xi$ -carotenes, lycopene and  $\beta$ -zeacarotene (Simon and Wolff 1987). The major pigments responsible for orange and yellow colour of the roots are  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -carotene.  $\beta$ -carotene often represent 50% or more of the total carotenoids content. The red colour of the carrot root is caused by lycopene and the yellow colour is affected by xanthophylls (Rubatzky *et al.* 1999). White roots are low in total carotenoids (Buishand and Gableman 1979).

Purple carrots contain very high contents of phenolics, mainly anthocyanins, and are characterized by a higher antioxidant capacity than orange, yellow or white varieties (Alasalvar *et al.* 2005). Differences in chemical composition, mainly among the phenolics, have been demonstrated as useful in distinguishing some *Daucus* species, whereas polyacetylenes, coumarins and sugars have not provided useful distinction (Crowden *et al.* 1969).

### 1.2.2. Carrot quality

Consumers' choice to eat carrots is often based on perceptions of carrots quality that include organoleptic, sensory and nutritional factors (Rubatzky *et al.* 1999). Carrots are increasing consumed due to their quality characteristics such as flavour compounds, sugars, dry matter (DM) contents and their perceived health benefits related to their vitamins, minerals, and fiber (Alasalvar *et al.* 2001; Quilitzsch *et al.* 2005). The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference (2010) offers nutrient compositions of carrot, based on 100 g fresh carrot and some of data are presented in Table 1-2.

Carrot quality is partly determined by its sugar content which contributes to sweetness (Simon *et al.* 1980). In carrot roots, the total sugar content ranges from 3.5 to 10.7% in fresh carrots (Alabran and Mabrouck 1973). Sucrose was the major sugar (representing 56.9% of total sugars), followed by glucose (24.6%) and fructose (18.5%) (Rodriguez-Sevilla *et al.* 1999). About 30 and 70% of the DM content consists of soluble sugar (Kjellenberg 2007). Phenolics compounds together with other compounds can contribute to bitter taste in carrot (Kjellenberg 2007). Sweet and bitter taste in carrots is dependant on both genetic and environmental factors. Cultivar choice and cultural practices can therefore highly affect the taste of carrots before they reach the consumer.

At the time of harvest the carrot storage root consist of about 85 to 90% of water and 10-15% DM. The ash content is usually between 5 and 10% DM (Odebo and



Unachukwu 1997). The total organic acids contain 0.19% fresh weight (FW) and the most common organic acids in carrots are malic (0.08%), isocitric (0.10%) and citric acid (0.004%) (Phan *et al.* 1973).

**Table 1-2** Nutritional composition of carrot: extract of data from USDA National Nutrient Database

Nutrient	Units	Value per 100 g FW
Proximates		
Protein		0.93
Carbohydrate, by difference		9.58
Fiber, total dietary	g	2.80
Sugars, total		4.74
Sucrose		3.59
Glucose		0.59
Fructose		0.55
Minerals		
Calcium		33.00
Iron		0.30
Magnesium		12.00
Phosphorus	mg	35.00
Potassium		320.00
Sodium		69.00
Zinc		0.24
Copper		0.05
Manganese		0.14
Vitamins		
Vitamin C, total ascorbic acid		5.90
Thiamin	mg	0.07
Riboflavin		0.06
Niacin		0.93
Vitamin B-6		0.14
Carotene, beta		8285.00
Carotene, alpha		3477.00
Lycopene	µg	1.00
Lutein + zeaxanthin		256.00
Vitamin E (alpha-tocopherol)		0.66
Vitamin K(phyloquinone)		13.20

Source: Retrived from [http://www.nal.usda.gov/fnic/foodcomp/cgi-bin/list\\_nut\\_edit.pl](http://www.nal.usda.gov/fnic/foodcomp/cgi-bin/list_nut_edit.pl) on April 2010

### 1.2.3. Efficacy of fertilizers on carrot productivity and quality

Application of organic manures have numerous beneficial effects, which not only increase the crop yield but also improve soil fertility in terms of organic carbon and nitrogen (N) content, permeability, balanced supply of nutrients, plant available water capacity and build up of organic matter. In addition, they are known to improve rhizosphere ecosystem, suppress soil-borne phytopathogens, promote root growth and increased micronutrient uptake (Keener *et al.* 2000; McSorly and Gallaher 1996; PCARRD 2006; Lithourgidis *et al.* 2007). However, it is necessary to apply the fertilizer in adequate amounts which might also be able to adjust balance between nutrients. The amount of fertilizer applied to carrot varies considerably depending upon many factors such as time of the year, sources or forms of nutrients, soil fertility and stress conditions (Rubatzky *et al.* 1999). However, efficient utilization of nutrients by crops from the applied organic materials might be challenging since the slow release of organic fertilizers often results in slow growth of crop compared to readily available mineral fertilizers.

Carrot yield and nutritional quality are affected by the types of fertilizer applied. Among the chemical constituents of the fertilizers, N plays a dominant role in affecting the nutritional quality (Kansal *et al.* 1981). Carrot root yield was improved by hundred percent recommended dose of N, phosphorous (P) and potassium (K) fertilizers compared to application of organic fertilizer alone or combined application of mineral and organic fertilizer (Rani and Mallareddy 2007). The most commonly used fertilizer levels were N which was ranged between 75 - 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, 25 - 125 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of P and 0 - 175 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of K (Rubatzky *et al.* 1999).

Adequate use of mineral fertilizers and organic manures is of great importance for obtaining high yield and quality of produce in one hand and on the other hand prevention of adverse effects on soil health and environment (Rani and Mallareddy 2007). However, there is a basic constraint in handling the organic fertilizers due to their bulkiness and slowly available nutrient compared to chemical fertilizers (Hailu *et al.* 2008). Furthermore, it is difficult to make precise recommendations for chemical fertilizers unless they are site specific since the reports for various fertilizer experiments are quite variable (Rubatzky *et al.* 2009).

Nitrogen fertilization has received most attention of researchers with regard to carrot quality (Hochmuth *et al.* 2006). Increased root yield applied by increased N levels were reported (Hochmuth *et al.* 1999; Hailu *et al.* 2008). However, high N rate up to 336 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> increased the nitrate level above the recommended dose for baby food (Chessin and Hicks 1987; Cserni *et al.* 1989).

In tomato production, N from compost application led to significant lower yield than those of other N fertilizers and it was supposed that N in compost was not readily