

**Organically-grown tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*  
Mill.): Plant infection by *Phytophthora infestans* and fruit  
quality**

**Dissertation  
To obtain PhD Degree  
in the Faculty of Agricultural Sciences,  
Georg-August-University Göttingen, Germany**

**Presented by  
Afrah Eltayeb Mohammed  
Born in Elginaid, Sudan**

**Göttingen, November 2009**

### **Bibliografische Information der Deutschen Bibliothek**

Die Deutsche Bibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der Deutschen Nationalbibliografie; detaillierte bibliografische Daten sind im Internet über <http://dnb.ddb.de> abrufbar.

D7

Referee: Prof. Dr. Elke Pawelzik

Co-referee: Prof. Dr. Heiko Becker

Co-referee: Prof. Dr. Petr Karlovsky

Date of examination: 12<sup>th</sup> November 2009

**Eltayeb Mohammed, Afrah:**

Organically-grown tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.):

Plant infection by *Phytophthora infestans* and fruit quality

ISBN 978-3-941274-34-1

Alle Rechte vorbehalten

1. Auflage 2010

© Optimus Verlag, Göttingen

URL: [www.optimus-verlag.de](http://www.optimus-verlag.de)

Das Werk, einschließlich aller seiner Teile, ist urheberrechtlich geschützt. Jede Verwertung außerhalb der engen Grenzen des Urheberrechtsgesetzes in Deutschland ist ohne Zustimmung des Verlages unzulässig und strafbar. Dies gilt insbesondere für Vervielfältigungen, Übersetzungen, Mikroverfilmungen und die Einspeicherung und Verarbeitung in elektronischen Systemen.

# Acknowledgements

First of all I owe great debt to Allah, the almighty God for keeping me healthy and able to do this work. It gives me great pleasure to express my deep thanks, gratitude and appreciation to my supervisor Prof. Dr. Elke Pawelzik. At the beginning I must thank her for giving me the opportunity to work at her group on this nice topic. I would like to express how grateful I am for her fruitful, excellent and keen guiding throughout the entire period of this work. Her limitless support, perfectionism, critical advices and sensible suggestions are highly appreciated. I must also direct my thank to Prof. Heiko Becker and Prof. Dr. Petr Karlovsky for agreeing to be the examiners for my PhD examination.

I have a sense of gratitude to PD Dr. Anna Keutgen for her great guidance and support to me through the provoking, frequent discussions, critical remarks, advices and suggestions. I must also thank Dr. Inga Smit for her various helps. Thank must also go to Dr. Bernd Horneburg for offering me different helps.

The cooperation that dominated among my colleagues in our working group has created a nice and motivating working atmosphere for me throughout the entire course of my study. With this feeling, I would like to thank all my colleagues in our working group. My experimental work could not have been accomplished without the cooperation and support I received from the technical staff in our working group. I would like to thank Gunda Jansen and Bettina Egger for their excellent technical assistance they offered me during the experimental period.

A warm vote of thanks must be extended to my family for her numerous helps; great support and encouragement all through the entire period of this study, special thank has to go to my friends and brothers Mohammed and Ammar Eltayeb for their kind feeling, help and concern during my study. Very special appreciation and gratitude must go to my small family here in Göttingen, Germany: my Husband (Mudawi), daughter (Hiba) and son (Mohammed). I would really like to thank Dr. Mudawi for his ever-continuous encouragement, patience, hearty prayers and unlimited moral support. Moreover, I acknowledge him not only for his critical amendments regarding the language, but also for the nice scientific discussions, from which I aquired good knowledge. Hiba and Mohammed are also greatly acknowledged for not only did they add a special piece of flavour to my stay in Germany, but also for keeping me inspired, optimistic and always motivated.

I do like here to send my special thank and appreciation to my sincere Sudanese friend Jalaa Elbashir for her continous helps during the critical periods in Göttingen. My friend and room mate Hanadi Eljabi, from Syria for her prayers and continous encouragement and helps. I will never forget their gentle support to me. To them and their families I send a lot of thank. Also, I wish to express my appreciation to my friends and colleagues Lele Win (Maynmar) for her kind and continous supports and helps. Suliman Hamad, Amro Babiker and their families are greatly acknowledged for their gentle support and encouragement. To Nuha Alali (Syria) I send a lot of thank. Much thank must go to Mutaz Magid for his generous help.

My thank is also extended to all Sudanese group, colleagues and friends not only in Göttingen, but in other cities in Germany, too. Also I will not to forget to send my thank to my colleagues from Sudan

who finished their PhD study, Dr. Kamal, Nasreen, Mai, Mahasin, Arafat and others who left already. It was really a nice and useful experience to be with this group. My thank is also extended to the Sudanese group who participated in my PhD graduation. My thank will not be complete without gratefully conveying my in-expressible thank to the Gottlieb Daimler- und Karl Benz - Stiftung for the generous financial support by providing the grant for me to persue my PhD in Germany. I would also like to thank this foundation for the nice annual workshops and meetings in Berlin and Lichtenfels.

Last, but not least, I would like to show my sincere gratitude to all my teachers and other people who helped me in a way or another throughout my educational life. To all of them, I dedicate this work with all the gratefulness, respect and appreciation.

# Tables of contents

<b>1. General introduction .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Tomato fruit production in organic agriculture .....	1
1.2 Tomato fruit quality .....	4
1.3 Effect of infection by <i>P. infestans</i> on tomato fruit quality .....	8
1.4 Research hypothesis .....	9
1.5 References .....	10
<b>2. Organically-grown tomato (<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i> Mill.): Plant infection by <i>Phytophthora infestans</i> and fruit antioxidant contents.....</b>	<b>17</b>
2.1 Abstract .....	17
2.2 Introduction .....	18
2.3 Materials and methods.....	20
2.3.1 Experimental layout.....	20
2.3.2 Cultivation procedure .....	21
2.3.3 Evaluation of the leaf and fruit infection by <i>P. infestans</i> ....	24
2.3.4 Sample collection and quality measurements.....	24
2.3.5 Statistical analysis .....	27
2.4. Results and discussion.....	28
2.4.1 Effect of cultivar and location on the infestation by <i>P. infestans</i> .....	28
2.4.2 Different infection levels by <i>P. Infestans</i> and fruit antioxidants.....	33
2.4.2.1 Lycopene .....	33
2.4.2.2 Total phenolic compounds.....	34
2.4.2.3 Ascorbic acid.....	36
2.4.2.4 Antioxidant capacity.....	37
2.4.3 Effect of different years on the infection by <i>P. infestans</i> and fruit antioxidants .....	40

2.5	Conclusion.....	44
2.6	References .....	46
<b>3.</b>	<b>Organically-grown tomato (<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i> Mill.): Plant infection by <i>Phytophthora infestans</i> and fruit mineral nutrients .....</b>	<b>53</b>
3.1	Abstract .....	53
3.2	Introduction .....	53
3.3	Materials and methods.....	56
3.3.1	Mineral nutrients determination .....	57
3.3.2	Statistical analysis .....	58
3.4	Results .....	58
3.4.1	Nutritional status of tomato and infection by <i>P. infestans</i> ...	63
3.5	Discussion .....	69
3.5.1	Nutritional status of tomato and infection by <i>P. infestans</i> ...	71
3.6	Conclusion.....	75
3.7	References .....	77
<b>4.</b>	<b>Organically-cultivated tomato (<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i> Mill): Plant infection by <i>Phytophthora infestans</i> and organic fruit compounds .....</b>	<b>84</b>
4.1	Abstract .....	84
4.2	Introduction .....	84
4.3	Materials and methods.....	86
4.3.1	Organic compounds measurements .....	87
4.3.2	Statistical analysis .....	89
4.4	Results .....	89
4.4.1	Quality parameters of different tomato cultivars as affected by environmental conditions .....	89
4.4.2	Relationship between infection by <i>P. Infestans</i> and fruit quality .....	97
4.5	Discussion .....	102

4.5.1 Quality parameters of different tomato cultivars as affected by environmental conditions .....	102
4.5.2 Relationship between fruit infection by <i>P. Infestans</i> and fruit quality .....	106
4.6 Conclusion.....	107
4.7 References .....	108
<b>5. Concluding summary .....</b>	<b>114</b>
<b>6. Appendices .....</b>	<b>117</b>
<b>7. Curriculum Vitae.....</b>	<b>141</b>



## List of tables

<b>Table 1.1</b> USDA National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference, 2008).....	7
<b>Table 2.1</b> Source, fruit colour and fruit weight of different tomato cultivars and breeding lines grown at Ellingerode and Schönhagen in 2004/2005.....	22
<b>Table 2.2</b> Geographical information about the locations, pre-crops, fertilization and planting dates in the locations in 2004 and 2005. ....	23
<b>Table 2.3</b> Evaluation key for the infestation of tomato leaves and the fruits by <i>P. infestans</i> .....	25
<b>Table 2.4</b> Fruit infection by <i>P. infestans</i> (AUDPC) of tomato cultivars in three different groups of infection levels at Ellingerode and Schönhagen in 2005.....	30
<b>Table 2.5</b> ANOVA for the effect of cultivar and location during 2005 at Ellingerode and Schönhagen for 28 tomato cultivars.....	41
<b>Table 2.6</b> ANOVA for the effect of cultivar and growing years, 2004 and 2005 at Ellingerode for 12 tomato cultivars. ....	42
<b>Table 2.7</b> Comparison of infection by <i>P. infestans</i> (AUDPC), yield (kg), antioxidant components (g kg <sup>-1</sup> FW) and antioxidant capacity (mmole Fe <sup>2+</sup> kg <sup>-1</sup> FW) for 12 tomato cultivars grown in 2004 and 2005 at Ellingerode. ....	44
<b>Table 3.1</b> ANOVA interaction for cultivar and location effects on the nutritional status of 28 tomato cultivars grown at Ellingerode and Schönhagen in 2005. ....	60
<b>Table 3.2</b> Comparative mean values of the investigated mineral nutrients. Data are expressed as mg kg <sup>-1</sup> FW for 12 tomato cultivars grown at Ellingerode for 2004 and 2005.....	63
<b>Table 3.3</b> ANOVA interaction for cultivar and year effects on the nutritional status and infection by <i>P. infestans</i> for 12 tomato cultivars grown at Ellingerode in 2004 and 2005.....	65

<b>Table 3.4</b> Pearson correlation coefficients between mineral nutrients of tomato fruits harvested in 2005 at Ellingerode .....	68
<b>Table 3.5</b> Pearson correlation coefficients between mineral nutrients of tomato fruits harvested in 2005 at Schönhagen.....	69
<b>Table 3.6</b> Minerals concentration ( $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$ FW) in different tomato cultivars irrespective to locations .....	74
<b>Table 4.1</b> Growing period and some quality parameters for tomato cultivars grown at Ellingerode and Schönhagen in 2005. ....	90
<b>Table 4.2</b> ANOVA interaction for cultivar and location effect on the tomato quality for 28 tomato cultivars grown at Ellingerode and Schönhagen. ....	99
<b>Table 4.3</b> ANOVA interaction for cultivar and year effect on some quality parameters for 12 tomato cultivars grown at Ellingerode in 2004 and 2005. ....	100

## List of figures

<b>Figure 1.1</b> Infection in tomato leaves and fruits by <i>P. infestans</i> .....	2
<b>Figure 2.1</b> Fruit and leaf infection by <i>P. infestans</i> and fruit yield at Ellingerode and Schönhagen in 2005. ....	31
<b>Figure 2.2</b> Lycopene content, total phenolic compounds, ascorbic acid and antioxidant capacity (FRAP) at Ellingerode and Schönhagen.....	39
<b>Figure 3.1</b> Macronutrient concentrations at two locations in 2005 ..	61
<b>Figure 3.2</b> Micronutrients concentrations at two locations in 2005..	62
<b>Figure 3.3</b> Macronutrients concentrations at the two locations for different groups of infection levels in 2005 at two locations .....	66
<b>Figure 3.4</b> Micronutrients concentrations at the two locations for three different groups of infection levels in 2005 at Ellingerode and Schönhagen .....	67
<b>Figure 4.1</b> Duration of growing period and fruit maturity for 28 tomato cultivars grown at Ellingerode and Schönhagen 2005.. .....	91
<b>Figure 4.2</b> Dry matter content, total soluble solids, juiciness for 28 tomato cultivars grown at Ellingerode and Schönhagen in 2005 .....	93
<b>Figure 4.3</b> Fructose, glucose, and protein content for 28 tomato cultivars grown at Ellingerode and Schönhagen in 2005. ....	94
<b>Figure 4.4</b> Organic acids content for 28 tomato cultivars grown at Ellingerode and Schönhagen in 2005 .....	95
<b>Figure 4.5</b> Titratable acidity and taste index for 28 tomato cultivars grown at Ellingerode and Schönhagen in 2005. ....	96
<b>Figure 4.6</b> Dry matter, total soluble solids, titratable acidity, protein, fructose and glucose content in three different groups of infection levels in 2005.....	101
<b>Figure 4.7</b> Determinant of coefficient between fructose and glucose content and between TSS and dry matter for 28 tomato cultivars grown at Ellingerode. ....	102

<b>Figure 4.8</b> Determinant of coefficient between fruit maturity and taste index and between dry matter and taste index for 28 tomato cultivars grown at Ellingerode. ....	102
---	-----

## List of acronyms and abbreviations

CO <sub>2</sub>	Carbon dioxide
BT	Baumtomate,
C	Carbon
Ca	Calcium
CE	Celsior
CG	Cerise gelb
CM	Celsior x Matina
CP	Cuban Pink
CR	Cerise rot
Cv	Cultivar
DW	Dry weight
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization
Fe	Iron
FF	Ferline F1
FRAP	Ferric reducing ability of plasma
FW	Fresh weight
GAE	Gallic acid equivalent
GK	Goldene Königin
GM	Golden Currant x Matina
HF	Harzfeuer F1
HPLC	High pressure liquid chromatography
HT	Hybrid-2 Tarasenko
K	Potassium
L6	LYC 2466
L9	LYC 2469
LM	Lämpchen
MA	Matina
Mg	Magnesium
mmole	ml mole
Mn	Manganese
N	Nitrogen
Na	Sodium
OR	Ostravske Rane
P	Phosphorus
<i>P. infestans</i>	<i>Phytophthora infestans</i>

PF	Phantasia F1
PH	Philovita F1
PO	Paprikaförmige
PU	Pfirsichhäutige
RG	Resi Gold
ROS	Reactive oxygen species
RR	Rosa Roma
SH	Schlesische Himbeer
SO	S 030a
USDA	United States Department of Agricultural Database
VF	Vitella F1
Z1	Z 21
Z2	Zuchtstamm22
Z4	Zuchtstamm 4
Zn	Zinc

# **1. General introduction**

## **1.1. Tomato fruit production in organic agriculture**

Tomato is one of the most widely grown vegetables in the world with a cultivation area of about 4.6 million ha year<sup>-1</sup>. In 2007, tomato was ranked as the second most cultivated vegetable after potato with an annual production of about 130 million tons worldwide. At that time, the European Union was the second largest producer with 15.4% of fresh harvested tomatoes, after China. Tomato fruits are consumed all over the world with a consumption rate of 102.8 million tons year<sup>-1</sup> (FAOSTAT 2009). Tomato fruit production has been mainly dependent on fungicides usage, but growing concerns about food safety and environmental pollution have increased the demand of organic products all over the world. Organic cultivation of tomato is one of the factors that are becoming major decision-making in tomato preferences for many consumers (Jones 2008).

Organic agriculture has substantial expansion in many countries over the last years reflecting the increased concern over environmental issues in conventional agriculture. Generally, more than 30.4 million hectares were managed organically by more than  $7 \times 10^5$  farms worldwide from which organic vegetables cover about 0.18 million hectares. Only limited data are available about the organic tomato cultivation area worldwide, but in the USA and Saudi Arabia it was amounted to 2.7 and 100 hectares, respectively, of organically cultivated tomato (IFOAM 2008). However, fungal infection of the tomato plant in the field is one of the most important problems in organic cultivation (Punja 2004).

One of the most serious fungal diseases in organic tomato cultivation is the late blight caused by the soil-borne pathogen, *Phytophthora infestans*. This disease can spread rapidly during cool, rainy weather, killing plants within a few days and causing total crop loss (Wisler and Duffus 2000). *P. infestans* belongs to the group of Oomycetes, which are members of the kingdom Chromista and the order Peronosporales. *P. infestans* is worldwide distributed, but most severe epidemics occur in areas with frequent cool moist weather (Nelson 2008). *Phytophthora* has a devastating potential because it is multi-cyclic and can produce infectious inoculum continuously after the initial infection as long as the conditions remain favourable (O’Gara 2001).



**Figure 1.1** Infection in tomato leaves and fruits by *P. infestans*

<http://www.gardenersworld.com/how-to/problem-solving/tomato-blight/main.jpg>



In the presence of moisture and low temperatures, zoospores are formed and released from sporangia. These zoospores swim in water and can migrate over the wet leaf surface. Coming in contact with the host tissue they encyst and germinate (Kamoun *et al.* 1998). If the temperature is over 15°C, however, the sporangia will most likely germinate directly into a germ tube and penetrate the leaf tissues. Penetration can also be indirectly through the open stomata (Vleeshouwers *et al.* 2000). Symptoms on plants include black lesions on the stems and leaves and rapid wilting (Kamoun *et al.* 1999).

Attempts at limiting losses in agriculture due to diseases are commonly based on extensive use of fungicides. The only effective fungicides against *P. infestans* in organic farms are copper-based with a maximum permitted application in Germany of 3 kg pure copper per hectare and season (Tamm *et al.* 2004). Copper is a heavy metal that remains in the environment (Brümmer *et al.* 1986). Therefore, copper fungicides are totally prohibited in the Netherlands and Scandinavia, the European Union is aiming to prohibit copper in the foreseeable future and hence there is a serious need for alternative strategies for disease control (Tamm *et al.* 2004).

Application of compost as organic fertilizer has been used successfully to suppress soil-borne pathogens such as *Fusarium*, *Phytophthora*, *Pythium*, *Rhizoctonia* and *Verticillium* (Termorshuizen *et al.* 2006). It has been found that by limiting or prohibiting the use of synthetic pesticides, under organic production methods the plants develop better abilities toward the synthesis of their own physiological defense mechanisms (Winter 2006).

Tomato is characterized by a great diversification due to the greatly varied uses and its adaptation to different cropping systems. As a

result, hundreds of tomato cultivars are now available, which differ also in their resistance to pathogen infection. Furthermore, these differences might be reasons for many breeding and screening programmes in order to obtain tomato cultivars with high levels of resistance to the major fungal diseases. The great success achieved in the development of cultivars resistant to fungi was obtained due to the availability of dominant genes of resistance (Prohens and Nuez 2008).

Seen from a historical perspective, breeding goals in tomato have gone through four phases: breeding for yield in the 1970s, for shelf-life in the 1980s, for taste in the 1990s and for nutritional quality currently. To be successful, growers must produce a high yield of high-quality fruit, while holding production costs as low as possible. Therefore, many of the breeding goals focus on characteristics that reduce production costs or ensure reliable production of high yields with high-quality fruits (Bai and Lindhout 2007)

## **1.2. Tomato fruit quality**

Tomato fruit quality is characterized by its organoleptic quality, involving taste, color, flavour and texture. These parameters make a product satisfactory for the consumers. The nutritional quality is also characterized by a number of parameters responsible for properties related to human health (Anza *et al.* 2006). Flavour and taste are mainly determined by sugars and organic acids contents. The tomato fruit composed mostly of water with about 5–7% of solids. Half of these solids are composed of sugars and one eighth of acids which are important fractions of tomato flavour. The higher the solids content, the higher the fruit flavour (Jones 2008). Sugars constitute about 50% of fruit dry matter, which are about 22% glucose, 25% fructose and

1% sucrose. Organic acids comprise about 15% of the dry matter of fresh fruits (Jones 2008).

Regarding nutritional quality parameters, minerals constitute about 8% of the dry matter content of tomato. Potassium and phosphorus are the two major nutrients (Yilmaz 2001). Tomato is also an excellent source of many secondary metabolites important for human health such as folate, ascorbic acid, flavonoids, chlorophyll,  $\beta$ -carotene and lycopene (Wilcox *et al.* 2003).

Generally, tomato fruits contain nutrients that are characterized as antioxidant components such as:

- The membrane-associated antioxidant lycopene, which is responsible for the reddening of the fruits. Due to the differentiation of the chloroplasts and chromoplasts, lycopene is very important with regard to the final nutritional and marketable quality of tomato (Dumas *et al.* 2003). According to Dumas *et al.* (2003), the skin of tomato contains five times more lycopene ( $540 \text{ mg kg}^{-1} \text{ FW}$ ) than the pulp ( $110 \text{ mg kg}^{-1} \text{ FW}$ ).
- The water soluble antioxidant ascorbic acid, which is a six-carbon lactone synthesised in a plant from the sugars formed during photosynthesis (Walingo 2005). All higher plants contain high levels of ascorbic acid distributed in many different cell compartments, such as the cytosol, mitochondria, chloroplast, and apoplast. Plants are the main nutritional source of ascorbic acid in human nutrition (Siendones 1999). In both plant and animal metabolism, the biological functions of ascorbic acid are linked to the antioxidant properties of this molecule (Davey *et al.* 2000). The normal range of ascorbic acid in tomato is between  $84$  and  $590 \text{ mg kg}^{-1} \text{ FW}$  (Dumas *et al.* 2003).