

Large Eddy Simulation of Premixed Combustion Using Artificial Flame Thickening Coupled with Tabulated Chemistry

Vom Fachbereich Maschinenbau
an der Technischen Universität Darmstadt
zur

Erlangung des Grades eines Doktor-Ingenieurs (Dr.-Ing.)
genehmigte

D i s s e r t a t i o n

vorgelegt von

Dipl.-Ing. Guido Künne

aus Hofgeismar

Berichterstatter:	Prof. Dr.-Ing. J. Janicka
Mitberichterstatter:	Prof. Dr. T. J. Poinso
Tag der Einreichung:	30.01.2012
Tag der mündlichen Prüfung:	22.03.2012

Darmstadt 2012

D17

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

Supervisor: Prof. Dr.-Ing. J. Janicka

1st Examiner: Prof. Dr.-Ing. J. Janicka

2nd Examiner: Prof. Dr. T. J. Poinso

Date of Examination: March 22nd 2012

Künne, Guido:

Large Eddy Simulation of Premixed Combustion Using Artificial Flame

Thickening Coupled with Tabulated Chemistry

ISBN 978-3-86376-014-4

All Rights Reserved

1. Edition 2012, Göttingen

© Optimus Verlag

URL: www.optimus-verlag.de

Printed in Germany

Paper is FSC certified (wood-free, chlorine free and acid-free,
and resistant to aging ANSI 3948 and ISO 9706)

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, scanning, or otherwise without the prior written permission of the Publisher. Request to the Publisher for permission should be addressed to info@optimus-verlag.de.

Erklärung

Hiermit erkläre ich, dass ich die vorliegende Arbeit, abgesehen von den in ihr ausdrücklich genannten Hilfen, selbständig verfasst habe.

Datum, Unterschrift

Preface

This thesis originated from my work as a doctoral candidate at the Institute for Energy and Power Plant Technology at the University of Technology in Darmstadt. Therefore, at first, I would like to cordially thank the head of the institute Prof. Dr. Johannes Janicka for this opportunity as well as for his confidence placed in me for the autonomous conduction of this work.

Especially I am deeply grateful to Prof. Dr. Thierry Poinsot for his willingness to report on this work. Due to his outstanding accomplishments in research as well as teaching he is an eminently respected expert and therefore his interest for this thesis is a great honor for me.

Regarding the professional contributions to this work I would like to mention four colleagues. First, I am much obliged to Dr. Christian Klewer who supervised me in exemplary fashion as a student allowing me to enter the subject matter. During my PhD he was an esteemed colleague and —contrary to his own assessment— was capable of helping me in difficult challenges. Likewise, Anja Ketelheun strongly contributed to the success of this work. I would like to thank her very sincerely for her reliable support in the area of chemistry tabulation as well as for the pleasant collaboration and her unconditional cooperativeness in many other things (e.g. proofreading of this work). I also want to thank my predecessors Dr. Frederik Hahn and Dr. Clemens Olbricht and acknowledge them for their proficient code development which is difficult within the given infrastructure.

I wish to thank my office mates Elias Baum, Norman Fuhrmann, Dr. Brian Peterson and Ralf Starkloff for the pleasant atmosphere which allowed helpful discussions as well as concentrated working in appropriate portions. I want to emphasize Elias Baum whose estimable personal attitude and sincere character accompanied me through the whole thesis.

For the good collaboration with the experimentalists I am grateful to Dr. Frederik Fuest, Thabo Stahler, Dr. Christof Heeger, Dr. Florian Seffrin and Prof. Dr. Andreas Dreizler. The broad exchange of knowledge helped greatly to gain a better understanding for the respective approaches allowing to distance from trench warfare towards a meaningful progress.

Darmstadt, January 2012

Guido Künne

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Motivation of this work	3
1.3	State of research	4
1.4	Aims and scope of this work	7
1.5	Structure of this work	8
2	Mathematical description and modeling of turbulent flows	9
2.1	Basic equations	9
2.1.1	Conservation of mass	9
2.1.2	Conservation of momentum	10
2.1.3	Species transport	10
2.1.4	Enthalpy balance	11
2.1.5	The equation of state for low Mach number combustion	13
2.1.6	Summary of the employed equations	14
2.2	Turbulence	14
2.2.1	The energy cascade	16
2.2.2	Turbulent scales	17
2.3	Numerical treatment	18
2.3.1	Direct numerical simulation	19
2.3.2	Reynolds averaged description	20
2.3.3	Large eddy simulation	21
	2.3.3.1 Filtering of the transport equation	21
	2.3.3.2 Modeling of the subgrid scale	22
2.4	Summary	25
3	Numerical treatment of premixed flames	26
3.1	Theoretical background on combustion	28
3.1.1	Basics of chemical reactions	28
	3.1.1.1 Chemical kinetics	28
	3.1.1.2 Reaction mechanism	30
3.1.2	Flame modes	31
	3.1.2.1 Non-premixed flames	32
	3.1.2.2 Premixed flames	33
3.1.3	Characteristics of premixed flames	34
	3.1.3.1 Laminar properties	35
	3.1.3.2 Flame-turbulence-interaction	39
3.1.4	Stratification in premixed flames	46

3.2	Chemistry reduction using FGM	49
3.2.1	Flamelet generation	51
3.2.2	Choice of a progress variable	51
3.2.3	Table generation for inhomogeneous adiabatic combustion	55
3.2.4	Table generation for homogeneous combustion with heat transfer	57
3.3	Coupling of tabulated chemistry with the LES solver	59
3.3.1	Grid dependence of the flame structure and propagation speed	60
3.3.2	Limiting behavior on coarse grids	61
3.3.3	Derivation of the resolution requirement	63
3.3.4	Evaluation for different equivalence ratios	68
3.4	LES combustion modeling	68
3.4.1	Artificial thickening	70
3.4.2	Modeling of the unresolved wrinkling	73
3.4.2.1	The original formulation by Colin et al.	76
3.4.2.2	The power-law formulation by Charlette et al.	77
3.4.3	Dynamic thickening	79
3.4.4	Treatment of artificial thickening in stratified regions	86
3.4.4.1	Influence of the thickening factor	90
3.4.4.2	Influence of the efficiency function	97
3.5	Summary	100
4	Numerical implementations	101
4.1	General solution procedure	102
4.1.1	Spatial discretization of problem domain	102
4.1.2	Finite volume discretization of the transport equations	103
4.1.3	Approximations of the cell surface terms	105
4.1.3.1	Second order interpolation	106
4.1.3.2	TVD interpolation	106
4.1.3.3	Computation of gradients	107
4.1.4	Time discretization	107
4.1.5	Pressure correction	109
4.1.6	Boundary conditions	111
4.1.6.1	Inlet	111
4.1.6.2	Outlet	111
4.1.6.3	Wall / Symmetry	112
4.2	Combustion model	113
4.2.1	Computation of the test filter velocity fluctuation	113
4.2.1.1	Laplacian of the velocity field	114
4.2.1.2	Rotational operator	115
4.2.2	Modifications of the scalar time integration	116
4.2.2.1	Stability analysis	116
4.2.2.2	Diffusion in the context of artificial thickening	119
4.2.2.3	Substep strategy	121
4.2.3	Grid-adaptive thickening	125
4.2.4	Flame sensor	127
4.3	Overall solution procedure	129

5	Verification / Validation	132
5.1	Implementations related to the modeling of premixed flame propagation . .	132
5.1.1	One-dimensional flame propagation	133
5.1.1.1	Description of the test case	133
5.1.1.2	Evaluation of results	133
5.1.2	Flame sensor	134
5.1.2.1	Description of the test case	135
5.1.2.2	Evaluation of results	135
5.1.3	One-dimensional grid-adaptive thickening	135
5.1.3.1	Description of the test case	136
5.1.3.2	Evaluation of results	137
5.1.4	One-dimensional analysis of the Laplacian operator	138
5.1.4.1	Description of the test case	139
5.1.4.2	Evaluation of results	140
5.1.5	Computation of the test filter velocity fluctuation	141
5.1.5.1	Description of the test case	142
5.1.5.2	Evaluation of results	142
5.2	Implementation of the substep strategy for the scalar time integration . .	145
5.2.1	One-dimensional convected density step	145
5.2.1.1	Description of the test case	145
5.2.1.2	Evaluation of results	147
5.2.2	One-dimensional flame propagation	149
5.2.3	Two-dimensional flame-vortex-interaction	151
5.3	Implementations to include heat transfer by enthalpy transport	152
5.3.1	One-dimensional transient conduction in a semi-infinite solid	153
5.3.1.1	Description of the test case	153
5.3.1.2	Derivation of the reference solution	154
5.3.1.3	Evaluation of results	155
5.3.2	One-dimensional transient conduction in a symmetric solid	155
5.3.2.1	Description of the test case	156
5.3.2.2	Evaluation of results	157
5.3.3	Two-dimensional natural convection in a square cavity	158
5.3.3.1	Description of the test case	158
5.3.3.2	Numerical setup and reference data	160
5.3.3.3	Evaluation of results	162
5.3.4	Consistency of the table access	166
5.3.5	One-dimensional flames with heat transfer	167
5.3.5.1	Description of the test cases	167
5.3.5.2	Evaluation of results	169
5.3.6	Two-dimensional flame stabilized above a cold wall	172
5.3.6.1	Description of the test case	172
5.3.6.2	Evaluation of results	173
6	Applications	176
6.1	Premixed swirl burner	178
6.1.1	Description of the configuration and validation data	180

6.1.2	Numerical setup	181
6.1.3	Results	185
6.1.3.1	Isothermal case	188
6.1.3.2	Reacting case	190
6.2	Premixed stratified burner	195
6.2.1	Description of the configuration and validation data	196
6.2.2	Numerical setup	200
6.2.3	Results	203
6.2.3.1	Isothermal case	205
6.2.3.2	Reacting case	208
6.2.3.3	Analysis of stratification effects	216
6.3	Flashback in a premixed swirl burner	222
6.3.1	Description of the configuration	224
6.3.1.1	Modifications of the original setup	224
6.3.1.2	Operating conditions and flashback initiation	225
6.3.2	Experimental characterization	227
6.3.3	Numerical setup	230
6.3.4	Results	233
6.3.4.1	Illustration of the burning regimes	233
6.3.4.2	Characterization by means of global quantities	236
6.3.4.3	Detailed analysis of the flame upstream movement	245
6.4	Summary	258
7	Summary and outlook	261
	Bibliography	264

Nomenclature

Some variables which are exclusively used locally are not included in this nomenclature. Units which arise from a local context are denoted by $\mathcal{U}$.

Upper case latin letters		Unit
A	General amplitude	$\mathcal{U}$
A_{fr}	Preexponential constant of the Arrhenius law	$\mathcal{U}$
C	General constant	—
C_e	Efficiency correction function	—
C_s	Smagorinsky coefficient	—
$\mathcal{C}$	Courant number	—
$\mathcal{D}$	Diffusion number	—
$\mathcal{D}_k$	Diffusion coefficient of the component k	m^2/s
E_a	Activation energy	J/mol
$E_{i,j}$	Frequency spectrum	m^2/s
$\mathcal{E}$	Efficiency function	—
$\mathcal{F}$	Thickening factor	—
$\mathcal{G}$	Spatial filtering operator	$1/\text{m}^3$
K	Flame stretch	$1/\text{s}$
$L_{i,j}$	Germano identity	m^2/s^2
Le_k	Lewis number of the species k	—
$\mathcal{L}$	Characteristic length scale	m
$\mathcal{M}$	Molar mass of the mixture	kg/kmol
$\mathcal{M}_k$	Molar mass of the species k	kg/kmol
N_{cv}	Number of control volumes	—
N_{r}	Number of reactions	—
N_{s}	Number of species	—
$\mathcal{O}$	In the order of	—
P	Probability	$\mathcal{U}$
P_{TKE}	Production of the turbulent kinetic energy	m^2/s^3

$\bar{P}$	Modified pressure within the LES	Pa
Pr	Prandtl number	—
$\dot{Q}$	External source or sink of enthalpy	J/m ³ s
$R_{i,j}$	Autocovariance	m ² /s ²
$R_{n,i,j}$	Autocorrelation	m/s
$\mathcal{R}$	Perfect gas constant	J/kmol K
$\mathcal{R}$	General right hand side of an equation	$\mathcal{U}$
S	General surface	m ²
$\mathcal{S}$	Filtered rate of strain	1/s
S_{ij}	Rate of strain	1/s
S_g	Swirl number based on the geometry	—
S_m	Swirl number based on the momentum	—
T	Temperature	K
$\mathcal{T}$	Effective straining function	—
$\mathcal{U}$	Characteristic velocity	m/s
V	General volume	m ³
V_F	Filtering volume	m ³
$V_{k,i}$	Diffusion velocity of the component k into direction i	m/s
$\mathcal{X}_k$	Symbol for species k	—
Y_k	Mass fraction of the species k	—
Y_{pv}	Reaction progress variable	—
$Y_{n,pv}$	Normalized reaction progress variable	—
Z_k	Element mass fraction of the element k	—
$\mathcal{Z}$	Stability function of the time integration scheme	—

Lower case latin letters

Unit

a	Strain rate (counter flow configuration)	1/s
c	Speed of sound	m/s
c_k	Molar concentration of the species k	mol/m ³
c_p	Specific heat capacity of the mixture at constant pressure	J/kg K
c_{pk}	Specific heat capacity of the species k at constant pressure	J/kg K
f	Mixture fraction	—
$f_{k,i}$	Volume force acting on the species k into direction i	m/s ²
$\mathbf{f}$	Is a function of	—
g_i	Acceleration of gravity into direction i	m/s ²
h	Specific enthalpy of the mixture	J/kg
h_k	Specific enthalpy of the species k	J/kg
k	Rate coefficient	$\mathcal{U}$

l	General length	m
l_I	Integral length scale	m
l_m	Mixing length (Prandtl's mixing-length hypothesis)	m
m_k	Mass of the species k	kg
p	Pressure	kg/s ² m
q_i	Enthalpy flux into direction i	J/m ² s
r	General radius	m
r_{fr}, r_{br}	Reaction rate of the forward and backward reaction	mol/m ³ s
s	Coordinate in the flame reference frame	m
s_a	Absolute flame speed	m/s
s_l	Laminar flame speed	m/s
$s_{l\Delta}$	Numerically computed laminar flame speed obtained on a certain grid size	m/s
s_T	Turbulent flame speed	m/s
t	Time	s
t_I	Integral time scale	s
u_i	Velocity (into direction i)	m/s
u'_{Δ_e}	Velocity fluctuation on the test filter Δ_e	m/s
v'	Characteristic vortex velocity	m/s
x_F	Flame position	m
x_i or x, y, z	Cartesian coordinate	m

Upper case greek letters	Unit
--------------------------	------

Δ_e	Test filter size for the ATF model	m
$\Delta h_{f,k}$	Enthalpy of formation of the species k	J/kg
Δ_t	Time step size	s
Δ_T	General time interval	s
Δ_x	Grid size (also Δ_y or Δ_z if direction is relevant)	m
$\Delta_{x,max}$	Maximum grid size to capture the flame propagation	m
$\Delta_{x,min}$	Minimum grid size for (spurious) flame stagnation	m
$\Delta_{R,H}$	Reaction enthalpy	J/kg
Γ	General diffusion coefficient	$\mathcal{U}$
Φ	General scalar	$\mathcal{U}$
Ξ	Flame wrinkling factor	—
Ω	Flame sensor	—

Lower case greek letters	Unit
--------------------------	------

α	Alignment angle between scalar layers in a stratified flame	Radian
γ	Isentropic exponent	—

δ	General flame thickness	m
δ^T	Thickness of the turbulent flame brush	m
$\delta_{g(k)}^0$	Flame thickness of the flamelet based on the gradient (of scalar k)	m
$\delta_{g(k)}^F$	Flame thickness of the thickened flame based on the gradient (of species k)	m
δ_{ij}	Kronecker-symbol	—
$\delta_{\omega(k)}^0$	FWHM thickness of the reaction zone from the flamelet (of scalar k)	m
$\delta_{\omega(k)}^F$	FWHM thickness of the reaction zone from the thickened flame (of species k)	m
ϵ	General error	$\mathcal{U}$
$\epsilon_{\dot{m}}$	Mass lack within the pressure correction scheme	kg/s
ϵ_{TKE}	Dissipation of the turbulent kinetic energy	m ² /s ³
ϵ_{Δ}	Local error in the flame speed	m/s
$\epsilon_{g\Delta}$	Global error in the flame speed	m/s
ζ	Stretched coordinate in the context of the ATF model	m
η_K	Kolmogorov length scale	m
θ	Rotation angle of the movable block	Radian
κ	Wave number (in the energy spectrum)	1/m
λ	Thermal conductivity	W/m K
λ_E	Eigenvalue	$\mathcal{U}$
λ_T	Temperature conductivity	m ² /s
λ_w	Wavelength	m
μ	Dynamic viscosity	kg/s m
μ_t	Turbulent dynamic viscosity	kg/s m
ν	Kinematic viscosity	m ² /s
ν_t	Turbulent kinematic viscosity	m ² /s
ν'_k	Stoichiometric coefficient of species k on the reactant side	—
ν''_k	Stoichiometric coefficient of species k on the product side	—
ξ_i	Local, cell oriented logical coordinate	—
π	Circular constant (number pi)	—
ρ	(Mass-) density of the mixture	kg/m ³
ρ_s	Species (mass-) density	kg/m ³
τ_{ij}	Components of the viscous stress tensor	kg/s ² m
φ	Arbitrary quantity	$\mathcal{U}$
ϕ	Equivalence ratio	—
$\dot{\omega}_k$	Chemical source term of the component k	kg/m ³ s

Indices

$\cdot'$	Temporal fluctuation
$\cdot_0$	Characteristics of large scale structures
$\cdot_b$	State in the burnt gas
$\cdot_{br}$	Backward reaction
$\cdot_D$	One node towards the downwind direction
$\cdot_{eq}$	Equilibrium (conditions)
$\cdot_F$	Fuel
$\cdot_{fr}$	Forward reaction
$\cdot_l$	Lean flammability limit
$\cdot_{max}$	Maximum
$\cdot_{min}$	Minimum
$\cdot_{N,E,S,W,T,B}$	One node towards the north, east, south, west, top, bottom direction
$\cdot_{n,e,s,w,t,b}$	North, east, south, west, top, bottom face of a control volume
$\cdot_O$	Oxidizer
$\cdot_{pv}$	Progress variable
$\cdot_{proj}$	Into projection direction
$\cdot_r$	Rich flammability limit
$\cdot_{ref}$	Reference conditions ($T = 298.15$ K , $p = 101\,325$ Pa)
$\cdot_{rms}$	Temporal standard deviation (root mean square)
$\cdot_{sgs}$	Subgrid-scale
$\cdot_{ss}$	Substep
$\cdot_{st}$	Stoichiometric conditions
$\cdot_{tp}$	Turning point value
$\cdot_u$	State in the unburnt gas
$\cdot_U / \cdot_{UU}$	One node / two nodes towards the upwind direction

Operators

$\langle \cdot \rangle$	Temporal average
$\bar{\cdot}$	Spatial (LES) filter
$\tilde{\cdot}$	Density weighted spatial filter
$\hat{\cdot}$	Test filter (Germano)

Dimensionless numbers

Da	Damköhler number
Fo	Fourier number
Gr	Grashof number

Ka	Karlovitz number
Le	Lewis number
Ma	Mach number
Pr	Prandtl number
Ra	Rayleigh number
Re	Reynolds number
Re_t	Turbulent Reynolds number
Re_Δ	Subgrid turbulent Reynolds number (Charlette et al.)
Sc	Schmidt number
Sc_k	Schmidt number of the species k
Sc_t	Turbulent Schmidt number

Abbreviations

ATF	Artificially thickened flame
CDS	Central difference scheme
CFD	Computational fluid dynamics
CPU	Central processing unit
DNS	Direct numerical simulation
DZ	Diffusion zone
FGM	Flamelet generated manifolds
FWHM	Full width at half maximum
LES	Large eddy simulation
OZ	Oxidation zone
PDF	Probability density function
PIV	Particle image velocimetry
PLIF	Planar laser-induced fluorescence
RANS	Reynolds averaged Navier Stokes
RK	Runge-Kutta
RZ	Reaction zone
TKE	Turbulent kinetic energy
TVD	Total variation diminishing
URANS	Unsteady Reynolds averaged Navier Stokes